



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

Candidate Name: Byron Sigcho Lopez

Phone: 312-823-2270

Candidate for Ward #: 25

E-Mail: bsigcho@gmail.com

Campaign Name: Friends of Byron Sigcho Lopez Campaign Manager Name: Loreen Targos

Campaign Address: 1901 W 21st St, 60608

A completed questionnaire must be received by Friday, January 27 to have answers distributed to our stakeholders as early voting starts. Please return to rachelbr@fotp.org.

ABOUT YOUR BACKGROUND AND PRIORITIES RELATED TO PARKS

A. Briefly describe any accomplishments or projects you have been involved with related to parks.

Response: I opposed the casino in the 78 site to ensure access to the river was unencumbered. I have advocated for our industrial corridors to become green space, for access to the river for our community. I opposed the sale of the Damen Silos. We support city transfer of land to el-paseo for more green space. We have advocated for more green space and planting trees with the people's response network. We support efforts to keep private festivals out of Douglas Park. We support more funding for park districts for programming and infrastructure. We want to impose a tax on Amazon so we can make these critical investments.

B. Are you planning to introduce legislation or initiatives to address any environmental justice issues? Do you have particular environmental priorities, park programming priorities, or see connections with other priorities for your community? If so, please identify those here.

Response: I called for the Inspector General to investigate the Hilco implosion. We won a commitment from Region 5 EPA and CDPH to do a cumulative burden study on pollution in our community. I joined the Hungerstrike to Stop General Iron. I support reopening the Department of the Environment. I support moving our industrial corridors for other purposes including river access and green space.

C. Chicago's motto is Urbs in Horto (City in a Garden). In the most recent *We Will Chicago* planning process, park discussions were thinly and inadequately spread across all the pillars and not a central focus of planning. How will you prioritize parks or demonstrate that parks are critical to healthy, thriving communities and the entire city and its residents?

Response: Parks are a critical component for our quality of life, violence prevention, mental health and more. I support demonstrating prioritizing parks by increasing our budget for them for both programming and infrastructure.

GENERAL QUESTIONS



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

Below are 11 topics related to parks. Please share your thoughts and policies on each subject using the list of bulleted questions as a guide.

1) EQUITY PLAN FOR CHICAGO'S PARKS

Forty years ago, On May 13, 1983, the [Chicago Park District entered into a consent decree with the federal government](#), resulting from a three-year investigation and a 1982 federal lawsuit addressing racial discrimination in the allocation of resources within the park district. The decree sought to promote equal and non-discriminatory treatment of Black and Latino communities by establishing rigorous and detailed systems, procedures and schedules that could assure equity, responsiveness, and transparency for these neighborhoods and their parks. It was in effect for six years, and Friends of the Parks registered our displeasure when the court lifted the order even though the Chicago Park District was not in full compliance with the terms of the Consent Decree.

Many other large cities have a written equity plan or framework to guide work for their park district, but Chicago has no such plan or codified standards despite documented racial inequity in park access and resources per our 2018 State of the Parks report. Public parks on the South and West Sides of Chicago often have less access to building facilities, fewer programs, and less investment in parks.

- What policies do you support to address this inequity and improve the park-going experience in South and West Side neighborhood parks?

RESPONSE EQUITY PLAN FOR CHICAGO'S PARKS: I support collectively writing an equity plan for our parks with south and west side residents at the table, and codifying those standards through city council. It is dehumanizing to deny communities equitable park services. We must stop this practice.

2) GREEN SPACE AND CREATING NEW PARKS

While more than [98% of Chicagoans](#) live within a 10-minute walk of green space or a playground, **Chicago lags behind most other high-density cities in park acreage per capita and total city acreage committed to public parkland (9%)**. Residents' access to recreational amenities and facilities they hope to find in their parks is not equitable across the city. The West Side of the city has the least parks or green space. While most parkland is on the city's South Side, these parks receive less capital investment and programmatic funding than North Side parks and much of it is undeveloped or underdeveloped brownfield sites that do not yet support much or any public use. These facts demonstrate that Chicago continues to experience systemic racial inequity when it comes to accessing or creating new green spaces.

- How will you prioritize the creation of new green spaces and parks in your ward? How will you include the community in the planning and development process?
 - We have implemented a community driven zoning process. Right now we just had our 4th public meeting regarding the development of a 7 acre site in our community at 18th



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

and Peoria. Green space is discussed and recommendations are in process now to incorporate green space in this development.

- What metrics or factors will you use to determine which communities should receive new green space? What equity considerations will you include in your assessment?
 - I support applying a racial equity impact assessment similar to the model used by Chicago United for Equity and other best practices to ensure our intentions, with proper planning, can result in positive and equitable outcomes. We must seek to reverse the segregation and systemic divestment that has scarred our city for generations.
- The 10-minute Trust for Public Land “walk score” mentioned above includes Chicago Park District spaces (parks, playlots, and beaches) and any green space in the city, including Forest Preserves, spaces managed by NeighborSpace, the 19 boulevards managed by the Chicago Department of Transportation, and privately owned public spaces (POPs). With all these various groups stewarding green spaces, coordination and comprehensive planning can be difficult and complex. How will you sort through the different managers to coordinate increasing access to parkland and green spaces in your ward?
 - All stake holders must have the ability to convene and discuss projects and goals. The 25th Ward is a critical connector from the south west side to downtown. We must have intentional conversations about green space and transportation in our community with decision makers and community members at the table.
- The Illinois state standard is 10 acres of green space for every 1000 residents. As urban planning and development occur in your ward, how will you ensure there is adequate green space for the community to thrive?
 - We have implemented a community driven zoning process. Right now we just had our 4th public meeting regarding the development of a 7 acre site in our community at 18th and Peoria. Green space is discussed and recommendations are in process now to incorporate green space in this development.
- Developers and the City have often tried to assert that publicly owned private spaces (POPs) will always be accessible to the public, just as if they belonged to the Chicago Park District. Historically POPs have not always been equally accessible to all residents as the private owner ultimately controls the space. What is your vision for ensuring true public access to new green spaces being created in mega-developments and other planned developments?
 - We support ending sacrifice zones and ensuring green spaces are protected legally as if they were Chicago Parks land - these discussions and paperwork must be done on the front end as a condition of development, not on the back end.
- As the Chicago Park District plans construction of new facilities (pickleball courts, basketball courts, fieldhouses, etc.), how will you allocate resources to address the realities of racial



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

inequity in Chicago and historic disinvestment and neglect of some communities? How will you include the community in determining what facilities and amenities are needed?

- The Jane Adams field house is a good example of how a park initially described as providing access to an underserved community (ABLA homes) has failed to do so by privatizing its space for rent instead of for free access to the community. I opposed the CHA land grab to build soccer facilities on CHA land in part because of these broken promises. The community's right to use our parks and facilities must be codified.
- Golf courses count as green space and as park acres for residents, but the use is restricted to those playing a particular sport and paying a fee for service. What initiative do you support to make such spaces dual purpose or to expand usage to more residents?
 - Renegotiate the agreement so the local community has priority before private parties. We need more public facilities, especially in deals with ComEd (like the Jane Adams facility) that owes a great debt to Chicagoans due to their endless stream of scandals and high rates.
- As Chicago deindustrializes and closes former industrial sites, like General Iron and Damen Silos, large swaths of land and former buildings can be re-imagined and re-developed. For example, with the recent closing of General Iron in Lincoln Park and the development of Lincoln Yards, neighborhood advocates have asked for the site to be used as part of a new North Branch Park and Preserve. The preserve could expand access to the river for the whole city and decrease the green space deficit the community currently experiences and will get worse with the added residential density proposed for Lincoln Yards. How do you think comprehensively about the opportunity these sites and the transition across the city offers? How will you coordinate a vision across the city using these spaces to increase green space for all communities? How will you prioritize which neighborhoods or communities should experience development of these new de-industrialized green spaces first?
 - We need the political willingness to have our former industrial sites become assets to the community. We must offer the widest opportunities to communities of color who have been left out of park development and allow local communities to reconnect with green spaces and river amenities in their communities.

RESPONSE GREEN SPACE AND CREATING NEW PARKS:

3) LAKEFRONT

Chicago's lakefront is a gem of the city and our city is unique in preserving the lake for all people. Currently, 26 of the 30 miles are publicly available for use and protected for the public, but two miles to the north and south remain cut off from public parks, beaches, and paths.



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

- What policies do you support to ensure the lakefront remains free and clear for all Chicagoans to enjoy? Please consider both the preservation of space (such as the Lakefront Protection Ordinance and Public Trust Doctrine) and practices that affect use (such as facilities and policing).
 - I support ordinances to preserve our public land along the water front, and I support expanded programming and investments in making our lakefront welcoming and accessible to people of all ages and backgrounds. We must improve public transit servicing the lake front and improve protected bike paths from every neighborhood to reach the lakefront.

- What efforts do you support to increase access to the city's lakefront by pedestrians, transit riders, cyclists, and people using wheelchairs and other mobility supports?
 - I support expanded programming and investments in making our lakefront welcoming and accessible to people of all ages and backgrounds. We must improve public transit servicing the lake front and improve protected bike paths from every neighborhood to reach the lakefront. We must invest so that all pathways along the lakefront are accessible to people with all levels of mobility.

RESPONSE LAKEFRONT:

4) ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE

In February 2020, Chicago declared a climate change emergency in response to severe storms and lakeshore erosion. Lakefront parks and beaches across the city suffer a battering of lake waves and the loss of sand and erosion at alarming rates. It is estimated that the storms of 2020 caused [\\$37 million in damages](#) to beaches and parks. Erosion, intense storms, and the failing of concrete revetments, metal girders and rock barriers installed by U.S. Army Corps of Engineers have caused [4 beaches in Roger Park](#) to be washed away and closed; revealed infill at Rainbow Beach as sand was lost; resulted lake water levels reached the top of the stairs at Calumet Park Beach House; and led to the permanent closing of a third of the [Northerly Island walking path](#)—merely a year after it was opened in 2015.

The threat is only going to continue. Concrete, metal and rock barriers push higher water to unprotected places. Lake levels have always fluctuated, but scientists believe lake levels will continue to trend higher. Regardless of lake level, the increased severity of storm surges due to climate change place our [shoreline communities at greater risk](#) of flooding and damage.

Nature-based solutions are a resilient, long-term, cost-effective method to manage the impact of climate change along the lake and across the city. This approach uses natural ecosystems to provide protection such as wetlands for flood mitigation; trees and prairie spaces to combat the heat island effect; and mangroves to reduce the impact of waves, storm surge, and coastal erosion. These



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

ecosystems also soften climate impacts, such as extreme weather, and reduce climate pollution by capturing carbon dioxide from the air and sequestering it in plants and soils.

Parkland and beaches are already a nature-based solution. The sand, soil, and plantings provide buffering and absorption that helps manage the fluctuation in lake levels and more frequent and severe storms. These green spaces furnish lakefront with recreational or contemplative areas and habitats for humans and wildlife to enjoy.

- How will you support the preservation or expansion of parkland, native plants, and healthy, mature trees and new tree planting across the city to combat climate change impacts that affect the shoreline and inland communities?
 - We must leverage funds from federal sources to grow our waterfront and riparian habitats. The cost of not doing so is already self evident. We must put pressure on our federal representatives to deliver funds from federal programs such as the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative to invest in rebuilding habitat and ecosystem protection for Chicago's waterfront. Every federal official in Illinois must know that this is a top priority for our state.

RESPONSE ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE:

5) COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND PARK ADVISORY COUNCILS

About 200 of Chicago's over 600 parks, beaches, playlots, and playgrounds have recognized Park Advisory Councils (PACs), resident groups of committed volunteers who support their parks through volunteerism, fundraising and other activities. Many PACs want influence over programs and maintenance and decisions about how parks will be used, but in reality, many feel left out of those decisions. At times, new permits are handed out for large events or art installations and PAC members or leadership don't find out until the event is underway or changes are being made to the park.

- Across the city there is no required or standard process around public notice and community input for park projects. How will you make sure that the public is informed and engaged in development, capital improvements, urban planning, large event or space rentals, and park planning decisions in your ward?
 - We have been very engaged with, for example the PAC at Dvorak Park that is seeking to expand park programming. Our office regularly announces upcoming events and meetings to the community. We would support requiring standard practices for public notice so that communities have the opportunity to be stewards of their local parks.



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

- How will you engage with Park Advisory Councils in urban planning, budgeting, funding decisions, considering capital improvement, determining park programming, and deciding what events or installations are placed in parks in your ward?
 - We have sponsored public events and advocated for additional resources to the Parks Commissioner. As Alderperson, I am their advocate and it is my job to amplify their desire to improve the parks infrastructure and programming to the local community.
- How will you support the formation or the rebuilding of Park Advisory Councils in your ward?
 - We have already done it at Dvorak Park and will continue to support that across other parks in our community. We want more programming for seniors and youth, families, and residents, and hope that will foster more participation from the community.

RESPONSE COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND PARK ADVISORY COUNCILS:

6) PARK PRIVATIZATION AND REVENUE

The Park District generates most of its income from property taxes, and over the last decade, its share of those taxes has declined from 6.1% to 4.7%. The average property tax unit pays \$260 towards the Park District's budget.

To supplement income, the Chicago Park District has increased revenue generating activity – raising fees for harbor and golf courses; issuing permits and renting out parks for private festivals; contracting with more concessionaires or other vendors; adding billboard or advertising; renting space for Amazon lockers; increased renting out park buildings and facilities as special event spaces. Some of these activities close off parkland and public facilities for weeks during the summer and over popular weekends, damage park facilities, and create a distraction for park users who seek tranquility or a natural oasis. While some renters and permit holders repair park damage, it takes months for vegetation to regrow or capital improvements to be finished, impeding park use far beyond the closures.

Some of the most notable examples of these revenue generating activities have been new contracts with Lollapalooza, the NASCAR race planned for 2023, and mega-festivals in Douglass Park. Residents across the city cite a feeling that parks have been commercialized and are no longer public, community spaces for people.

- As park district spaces have refocused on revenue-generating outside events, many community partners and programs have been booted from park district buildings and grounds, or can no longer afford to use the space. What policies do you support to prioritize the use of park space for residents and community groups over revenue generating activities and improve community engagement by private groups seeking to operate for profit in public parks?
 - We must tax the rich to fund our critical services like the parks. Revenue-generating events can take place on private grounds and still provide tax revenue to the city without



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

occupying finite park space. Private groups seeking to hold for-profit events in public parks should be required to participate in a community driven process that develops an equitable community benefits agreement for the local residents.

- The income from these events does not go a specific park, but rather to the Park District budget as a whole, leaving some residents to feel their park bears the brunt of the burden without reaping benefits. How do we ensure the impact and benefits of these rental events or fees are spread equitably?
 - Private groups seeking to hold for-profit events in public parks should be required to participate in a community driven process that develops an equitable community benefits agreement for the local residents who are most impacted. Local residents should have a strong voice in deciding if a private event can go forward.
- In the need to find new funding sources or expand programming, the Park District often balances private or non-profit partners and community programming, users, or needs. At times the interests of these different park users can be at odds. One example is a private baseball program that would like to pay for and install new lights at [Welles Park](#), but some community members are opposed. How will you manage the competing interest of various stakeholders when money is involved?
 - In our community we have strived towards equity and consensus building with every voice heard. In the case where neighbors could be bothered by lights or additional noise and traffic, we would facilitate a conversation on set hours of use and clean up/closing practices with terms that neighbors could accept. Without buy in from neighbors, I am unlikely to favor a private party. We must tax Amazon to ensure our parks are well funded.
- When permits are issued for large music festivals or events, like the NASCAR race, the Park District does not have to consult alderpeople, but the event requires resources and time from multiple city departments, such as the police department to manage crowds, CDOT to reorganize traffic, and Streets and Sanitation to clean up. What policies do you support to increase coordination between city agencies, community members, and the Park District when determining what large events happen in parks?
 - I support a community driven process that requires a community benefits agreement drawn together with the local residents to ensure democracy, not back room deals, prevail. Different agencies must present their plan to the local community and only with a reasonable level of approval from neighbors can a plan go forward - this ensures accountability from event producers and city agencies.
- To what extent should the Park District's budget rely on private, revenue generating events, vendors and concession stands, fees for service, advertisements (i.e. billboards), and renting the parks to for-profit groups?



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

- We should be able to fund our entire park district budget with a tax on the ultra rich corporations in our city who have been avoiding paying their share for a long time, to the detriment of the quality of life in our city for all. Its time the corporations that benefit from the beautiful assets in our city pay their fair share to maintain them.

RESPONSE PARK PRIVATIZATION AND REVENUE:

7) BUDGET PROCESS AND RESOURCE ALLOCATION

The Chicago Park District's annual budget process offers a public hearing, an online forum for input, and a vote at an open board meeting. It is questionable whether there is adequate space for resident input or sufficient power and influence ascribed to their input. Many park activists are unaware that the online forums exist or how they would have access them and they face barriers to attending the public meetings.

- The Chicago Park District should actively engage park stakeholders across the city in the budgeting process, particularly with the Capital Improvement Projects. Efforts should be made to understand and address any barriers to participation from historically disenfranchised populations. What will you do to help the Chicago Park District find ways to extend more budgetary control to the public and incorporate community participation into their annual budgets?
 - We introduce amendments to allocate funding for violence prevention programs based on grassroots and research based solutions. I introduced an amendment in 2021 asking for \$100,000,000 which are critical for 3000-5000 of the most high risk youth in CPS be engaged. We need regular audits of their budget and their capital budget which is often time overlooked.
- Each year, the Park District accepts submissions for capital improvement requests from a variety of sources. More than one in three requests from elected officials are approved, while only about one in five requests from the public are approved. As an Alderman, how would you use your influence to support capital improvement requests for parks in your ward and across the city?
 - Encourage local advisory councils to be more active, attend board meetings, and implement participatory budgets where we encourage public participation to encourage tif funding and other sources of revenue for capital improvements in the park district.
- Park Advisory Councils have advocated for Menu Money, Tax Increment Financing District Funding, Capital Improvement Programs, Open Space Impact Fees, and Special Service Area Program Funding as resources to supplement Park District funding. As Alderman you have access to and influence over a variety of city funding streams to support projects in your ward. How will you use your influence to support investment and improvement of parks in your ward and the city? What funding priorities would you promote?



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

- The use of TIF funding for capital improvements for the park district. We should encourage more participation around TIF funding, the yearly city budget, and intergovernmental possibilities. I have requested TIF funding for our parks and I hope Chicago will elect a Mayor who approves those requests and invests in our parks.

RESPONSE BUDGET PROCESS AND RESOURCE ALLOCATION:

8) PARK ACCESSIBILITY, FACILITIES, & AMENITIES

In the South and West sides of the city, larger park or natural areas are not easily accessible by public transportation. Many parks and natural areas typically lack accessibility for people with disabilities, or only a portion are accessible. While some heavily-trafficked areas like the Lakefront trail may tend to have open and clean bathrooms, many other parks have dirty, locked, or no bathroom facilities. Without access to a bathroom, the time residents can spend or activities they can engage in at a park are limited. Some parks lack lights, clear parking spaces, signs at the entrance, multi-lingual signage or signage throughout to identify elements of the park. In some cases, roads leading into parks are blocked with gates and barricades at all hours.

- What steps will you take to ensure our parks, playlots, beaches, and trails are welcoming and accessible places for everyone?
 - We have partnered with the UIC Water Lab to explore ways to make our parks more beautiful and and welcoming. We must reform our property tax system so that huge corporations like Blackstone making millions per year don't get 80% cuts and put the burden on homeowners who cannot afford 30-50% increases to their taxes overnight. By taxing more equitably, we can invest in making our parks all accessible, welcoming, and able to maintain clean and open bathrooms for all.
- Pet owners bring their dogs to parks, playlots, paths, and beaches across the city. Dogs can also be a barrier to other residents' enjoyment or a threat to wildlife. How will you engage with various points of views about dogs in parks?
 - Dogs are wonderful companions. We must not allow a scarcity mindset to pitch different constituencies against each other. We must tax the wealthiest to ensure all priorities in our parks are well funded including proper signage for where dogs are and are not allowed, and offering gated dog parks where dog owners can enjoy their pets, away from other areas of the park where pets would be less appropriate.
- As the Chicago Park District plans improvement and maintenance of fieldhouses, playgrounds, and other recreation facilities, how will you prioritize resources based on historic disinvestment faced by some parks and communities? How will you include the community in the decision-making process? How will a commitment to racial equity impact your actions?



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

- The Jane Adams field house is a good example of how a park initially described as providing access to an underserved community (ABLA homes) has failed to do so by privatizing its space for rent instead of for free access to the community. I opposed the CHA land grab to build soccer facilities on CHA land in part because of these broken promises. The community's right to use our parks and facilities must be codified. Facilities that fail to prioritize the local community must have their agreements renegotiated to put the local community first. This process with the community can be guided by a racial equity impact assessment.
- Field houses across the city are often closed on weekends and evenings. These closures mean people lack access to bathrooms, staff assistance or programming. Similarly, park bathrooms outside field house are often locked, or many parks have no bathroom. What will you do to make sure park field houses and the resource they provide can reach more residents?
 - We must increase funding to expand hours to when working people have time to recreate. This funding must come from sustainable revenue sources that tax those most able to pay.
- Often, new construction developments are allowed to use developer-owned "publicly accessible" green spaces to satisfy open space requirements rather than Chicago Park District-controlled land. When private corporations dictate the development, management, and public access to green spaces, it seldom leads to park spaces desired by Chicago. These privately administered spaces are subject to different operating hours and rules than local parks. Additionally, when departments in the City government collaborate for new development or capital improvement to be "shared," the park users miss out on access or design of the space. An example is Whitney Young's Sports Complex, funded entirely with tax-increment financing. What is your vision to ensuring true public access to new green spaces, facilities, or amenities that are a funded with public dollars or promise public access during construction? How will you use your position to support that vision?
 - Agreements for community engagement and public access must be put in writing to guaranty public engagement and public access in perpetuity. Without such guarantees, projects accepting these green spaces must have broader community benefit agreements that make up for the lack of participation and long term guarantees for the community.

RESPONSE PARK ACCESSIBILITY, FACILITIES, & AMENITIES:

9) PARK PROGRAMMING

Annually, more than 350,000 people participate through the Chicago Park District in thousands of sports, recreational, cultural, and environmental programs across the city. Yet the distribution of programs is



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

often inequitable and mismatched, failing to meet the needs of local communities effectively. Even preliminary research indicates dramatic inequities across the city in the amount of programs and services offered. At times, programs feel dropped into a community without community input or research. In addition, the programs families are looking to for their health, cultural, and recreation may also be financially out of reach.

Where processes existed under the 1983 Consent Decree to ensure equitably distributed and responsive programming, many parks now lack the programs that are culturally relevant and affordable.

- Parks can be essential resources for people of all ages in a community, especially because they offer classes, camps, pools, exercise equipment and facilities, and chances to socialize. What resources will you invest in parks to prioritize use by diverse populations – seniors, families and children, people with disabilities, and teenagers? How will you ensure park programming is culturally relevant to BIPOC communities that have experienced exclusion and disinvestment?
 - In 2021, I proposed \$100m in violence prevention funding in part to fund park programming for community members. We must engage with the local community, expand park advisory groups to propose programming that is attractive for the local community, and expand funding to make these programs low cost or free for the community.
- What will you do to support residents and community groups to have access to park space and programming for no or low cost?
 - We must tax those most able to pay to fund critical programming.
- Parks across Chicago do not have the same access to sufficient, culturally relevant, and affordable programs or have space maintained to meet the needs of the community. What will you do to ensure equity in park programming and resources (facilities, maintenance, etc.) for parks in your ward and across Chicago, keeping in mind the historic underinvestment in West and South Side parks?
 - We must invest in expanding programming, getting neighbors more engaged, grow our park advisory councils, and begin a cycle of public engagement and support for expanding opportunities for all constituencies at our parks. We must grow the political willingness to make these institutional changes.
- How will you include the community in program design and program offering in their parks to make sure it is sufficient, relevant, and affordable and to proactively account for external community problems related to public safety and violence?
 - We must invest in expanding programming, getting neighbors more engaged, grow our park advisory councils, and begin a cycle of public engagement and support for expanding opportunities for all constituencies at our parks. We must grow the political willingness to make these institutional changes. Groups that already engage in violence



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

prevention programming must be brought in to have a seat at the table as we expand our programming options.

RESPONSE PARK PROGRAMMING:

10) SAFETY

Feelings of safety and security greatly shape how park spaces are experienced. While some park users feel vulnerable when parks are too empty, others want quiet, wide-open, empty spaces. Some fear gun violence, and others fear oppressive police presence. Some are worried about playground conditions, and others are worried about vandalism. Some want more lights or call boxes. All of these are important considerations as we address safety concerns.

In the summer of 2022, as a response to the death of Chicago teenager, a curfew was enacted at Millennium Park to restrict event access to the park for young residents not accompanied by an adult or attending a ticketed event. In multiple Listening Tour sessions in different parts of Chicago, we heard similar statements that represent a specific loss of access to park amenities after violence occurred in their parks. If the response to select instances of violence in parks is to close, restrict, or remove certain amenities, this seems like the beginning of a very dangerous trend of the Chicago Park District punishing entire communities for the actions of a few.

- How will you approach safety in a way that does not unfairly punish entire communities for the behavior of the few?
 - We must invest in mentorship programs, expanded programming to engage youth and adolescents, and ensure that our young people are engaged in nurturing, engaging, and inspiring programming that grows their curiosity and interest in the world and people around them. Banning young people from parks is the opposite of a solution.
- How would you ensure residents of all ages can access public amenities while balancing concerns for public safety?
 - We must invest in mentorship programs, expanded programming to engage youth and adolescents, and ensure that our young people are engaged in nurturing, engaging, and inspiring programming that grows their curiosity and interest in the world and people around them. Banning young people from parks is the opposite of a solution.
- What steps will you take or policies will you support to increase the public safety of Chicago's parks, playlots, playgrounds, paths, and beaches beyond increasing police presence?
 - We must engage with violence intervention groups and most importantly, offer free and low cost programming to all who seek it, along with coaching and mentorship jobs to residents at a living wage to improve employment and engagement intergenerationally in the community.



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

RESPONSE SAFETY:

11) PARK DISTRICT OVERSIGHT

- The Chicago Park District is not a department of the city government, but a separate governmental entity. However, the Chicago Park District's Board of Commissioners' members are nominated by the mayor and must be approved by the Committee on Special Events, Cultural Affairs and Recreation. What policies do you support to make sure nominees have **demonstrated relevant experience, background and skills sets related to parks?**
 - One, I oppose candidates with conflicts of interest and nepotistic appointments that have caused systemic harm in our park system. Instead, nominees must have a demonstrated professional or extracurricular background in strengthening our environment, our communities, and our relationships within and between our communities. They should have relevant experience that complements other skill sets on the board to ensure a diverse team of leaders are bringing diverse perspectives.
- How will you use your role to provide oversight to the parks, playlots and beaches in your ward?
 - Empowering local councils. For example in the Barbara Jean Wright complex, the playlot suffered from serious infrastructure issues. Our office preserved the affordable housing and worked with the tenant council to earn a significant number of improvements from the developer, including renewed investments in the play area.

RESPONSE PARK DISTRICT OVERSIGHT:

WARD SPECIFIC QUESTIONS

ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS FOR **WARD 5, 7 AND 10**

- In 2022, a [community ballot referendum](#) in the 5th, 7th and 10th Wards asked the city to stop cutting down trees in Jackson Park and the area surrounding the South Shore Cultural Center passed by 82%. The trees are at risk due to the construction of the Obama Presidential Center and the proposals to merge Jackson Park and the South Shore Cultural Center golf courses into a new PGA golf course. As Alderman, how will you work to support the community's preference to save the trees in Jackson Park, the South Shore Cultural Center, South Shore Nature Sanctuary, and the surrounding community areas?

ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS FOR **WARD 10**

A toxic dump occupies 50 acres of shoreline on one of the last critical pieces of land on the South Side to complete Daniel Burnham's vision of a park system spanning the entire Chicago lakefront. The [Chicago](#)



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

[Area Confined Disposal Facility \(CDF\)](#) sits on Lake Michigan between the Calumet River and Calumet Park in the 10th ward. The land is owned by the Chicago Park District but leased to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to store dredge until full. In 2022, the CDF maxed out the storage space and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has asked to expand the facility 25 feet vertically to keep it open.

The site, built in the 1980s, is a legacy of previous industrial uses of the river, the only industrial or toxic site on Chicago's shoreline, and is leaking into the Calumet River and Lake Michigan--leaking that could worsen with rising water levels and storm surges fueled by climate change.

- Chicago residents have waited almost 40 years for the land to be returned and created into a public park. As Alderman, what next steps do you support related to the CDF?

PARK PRIVATIZATION AND REVENUE ADDITIONAL QUESTION FOR WARD 24, 25, and 28

- What will you do increase community engagement about the decision to hold festivals in parks, how will you respond to stakeholder feedback, and what will you do to ensure the impact and benefits of these rental events or fees return to Douglass park?
 - Request public meetings to ensure community input is incorporated into these plans. If the community is not engaged meaningfully, I will withhold my support for private festivals in parks.

NEW BUILDINGS IN PARKS WARD 26

In 2022 an unpermitted, unapproved building project was undertaken in a non-democratic process with state funds by the National Museum of Puerto Rican Arts & Culture in historic Humboldt Park next to the landmarked former stables building (and office of Jens Jensen).

- Please disclose any formal or informal leadership roles in the community that you have held or currently hold that relate to National Museum of Puerto Rican Arts & Culture and their plan to develop structures on public parkland.
- What did you know about or how were you involved with this project before construction was halted in September 2022?
- How will you offer leadership to remedy this situation?

ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS FOR WARD 48 & 49

- What will you do to support vulnerable shoreline communities from the threat of flooding, erosion, and the impact of climate change?

PARK ACCESSIBILITY, FACILITIES, & AMENITIES ADDITIONAL QUESTION WARD 49



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

- [Touhy Park](#) has seen an encampment in the park for almost 2 years. The Park District has moved some activities to neighboring parks. The Police Department and the City has a policy not to evict people staying on public land. What policies and actions do you support to address this encampment that will manage the needs of the various people involved?